

Comment Set D.38: Pedro Flores



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Public Meeting Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9/2/06

Name*: Pedro Flores


Affiliation (if any)*: _____

Address*: 8551 Sierra Hwy

City, State, Zip Code*: Agua Dulce, Ca 91390

Telephone Number*: 661-268-7348

Email*: bryleeif@juno.com



The proposed Alt. 5 plan would affect our home in several ways. Our concerns are

- ① This plan would take out several of our neighbors homes
- ② the power lines would run directly next to our property and also in front crossing Sierra Hwy / Anthony Rd.
- ③ we are concerned about contamination of our water well, our sole source of water
- ④ we are concerned about adverse health effects on our 3 small children, ourselves and our animals
- ⑤ this plan would be detrimental to our property value and destroy our view

D.38-1

D.38-2

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeng.com.

Response to Comment Set D.38: Pedro Flores

D.38-1 As discussed in Section C.9.10.2, the majority of land uses that would be restricted as a result of Alternative 5 would be the erection of new structures within the alternative ROW. However, given that SCE has not conducted any engineering design or routing studies for Alternative 5, the EIR/EIS has assumed that the removal of one or more homes could occur. As such, Section C.9.10.2 (Impact L-3) concluded that potential impacts to residential land uses as a result of Alternative 5 would be significant.

D.38-2 As described in Response to Comment C.16-1, it is understood that residents in Leona Valley rely on groundwater resources for residential purposes and that the viability of groundwater is of concern in this area. Neither the proposed Project nor an alternative to the Project would interfere with the overall supply and recharge of groundwater resources in the Project area (see Draft EIR/EIS Section C.8, Criterion HYD2). The required implementation of multiple mitigation measures and construction best management practices would minimize the potential for an accidental release of harmful materials to occur. Neither the proposed Project nor an alternative would significantly interfere with or damage well water in the Project area, including in Leona Valley.

Please see General Response GR-3 regarding health concerns associated with EMF, and General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values.